UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,858	07/28/2003	Thomas R. Hetzel	249.301	3846	
²⁸⁷⁸⁵ JOHN R LEY,	7590 01/23/200 LLC	7	EXAMINER		
5299 DTC BLVD, SUITE 610 GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CO 80111			KUHNS, ALLAN R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1732		
<u></u>					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE .	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/23/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		-
	10/628,858	HETZEL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		· ·
	Allan Kuhns	1732		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the mailing date of this of the control	,	
Status				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	o3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-13,16,18-55,57,65-68 and 77-81 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 57,65-68 and 81 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-13, 16, 18-55, 57, 65-68 and 73 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration. 7-80 is/are rejected.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage 	
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/628,858

Art Unit: 1732

1.The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2.Claims 1, 2, 4-13, 16, 18-55 and 77-80 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,990,744. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.
 - 3.Claims 57, 65-68 and 81 are allowed.
- 4.Applicants' arguments filed November 1, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue, with regard to the double patenting rejection, that the subject matter (of the '744 patent) is completely unrelated to and not obvious with respect to a method of capturing a negative impression of an anatomical portion of a person (claim 1) or a method of creating a support contour for a seat cushion (claims 35 and 41 (sic)). Applicants note that one technique involved in evaluating the

Application/Control Number: 10/628,858

Art Unit: 1732

clearance between the support contour and the pelvic anatomy, as described in the '744 patent, involves crushing a foam material but argue that the crushed foam material serves as only a gauge for evaluating a clearance between a support contour of a pre-existing seat cushion and the pelvic anatomy of a person who uses the cushion. This is not persuasive because, to the examiner, the steps practiced in the claimed process of the '744 patent indeed involve taking or capturing a negative impression of an anatomical portion of a person, as in instant claim 1, and it is the examiner's position that it is known to use such an impression to create a support contour for a seat cushion from such an impression and that this would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

5.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/628,858

Art Unit: 1732

1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to

5:30.

273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

Page 4

1-19-07